Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/589,200	THOMPSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	QAMRUN NAHAR	2191
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>QAMRUN NAHAR</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Raymond Y. Mah (Reg. No. 41,426)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 07 May 2008.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) ☐ applicant's representativ	re]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>10</u> .		•
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h) ⊠	N/A.
Submitted supplemental response (See Attached Document). (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	/Qamrun Nahar/ Qamrun Nahar	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if requ	ired
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	ew Summary	Paper No. 20080511

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

THOMPSON et al.

Atty. Ref.: 36-1494

Serial No. 09/589,200

TC/A.U.: 2191

Filed: June 8, 2000

Examiner: Nahar, Q.

For: TASK MANAGEMENT

* * * * * * * *

May 8, 2008

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE

Responsive to the interview with the Examiner on May 7, 2008, please consider the following remarks.

THOMPSON et al. Application No. 09/589,200 May 8, 2008

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested.

Currently, claims 1-29 are pending in this application.

The Examiner is thanked for extending the courtesy of an interview with Applicant's representative on May 7, 2008.

Page 2, lines 15-21 of the originally-filed specification states the following:

Processes can be of different types. A computer process, in particular a computer program is compiled and run as logical instructions to be translated and acted on by a machine. Other processes are instantiated as physical systems involving people. In particular, there are inputs and outputs to allow decision making, optimisation and customisation by users which will affect the process as performed to produce for instance local, individual and/or time based variations. It is these other processes which ASOPE is particularly adapted to generate and support.

As discussed during the interview, the specification (including the abovereproduced section of the specification) identifies corresponding structure for enabling the "means" limitations required by independent apparatus claim 10 and its dependents.

Conclusion:

Applicant believes that this entire application is in condition for allowance and respectfully requests a notice to this effect. If the Examiner has any questions or believes that an interview would further prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned.

THOMPSON et al. Application No. 09/589,200 May 8, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

Bv:

Raymond Y. Mal Reg. No. 41,426

RYM:dmw 901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor Arlington, VA 22203-1808

Telephone: (703) 816-4044 Facsimile: (703) 816-4100